20 March 2019

RE Official information request CDHB 9992

We refer to your email dated 4 December 2018 requesting the following information under the Official Information Act from Canterbury DHB.

- All statistical information related to staff incidents at Hillmorton Hospital over the least three financial years?
  - Please break it down by incident and by financial year. The information should include, but not be limited to, all reported incidents at Hillmorton, separated into categories in your incident management system (such as physical assaults, verbal abuse and sexual threats).

I refer to the email from Kathleen Smitheram dated 5th December 2018 with the attachment for our previous response CDHB9931 & WCDHB9201. This email also included a link to a previous response CDHB 9835 (https://www.cdhb.health.nz/?s=9835)

We believe that this information substantively addresses your question on this topic.

- Please also include the total of incident-related leave taken by Hillmorton staff and the number of occasions police have been called because of incidents. Please present this data by financial year, over the last three complete financial years.

I refer to the email from Kathleen Smitheram dated 5th December 2018 with the attachment for our previous response CDHB 9867. This attachment covers incident related leave “loss time injury” on page 2. Also included is the Canterbury DHB policy related to staff making a complaint to Police.

Since the adoption of the Safety First reporting system, we have developed a strong reporting culture for all types of adverse incidents. Staff are entitled to make a complaint with the Police but the incident reporting system does not hold data concerning the number of times the Police were called to assist or intervene in any of the incidents.
To retrieve this would require use of substantial public resources to undertake research and collation of data against each individual patient file. We are therefore declining this question under section 18(f) of the Official Information Act.

We believe these previous responses give sufficient data to answer your query. However if you would like this response updated (such as year to date) please contact me as soon as possible.

- Please also provide correspondence sent and received by Toni Gutschlag and David Meates since November 1 that relates to staff and patient safety at Hillmorton. The correspondence should include, but not be limited to, emails from unions and complaints from staff.

Please find attached as Appendix 1 correspondence sent and received by Toni Gutschlag and David Meates since November 1 2018 that relates to staff and patient safety at Hillmorton.

Please note: we have redacted or withheld information under the following sections of the Official Information Act.

Section 9(2)(a) “i.e. to protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons”.

Section 9(2)(ba)(i) “i.e. to protect information which is subject to an obligation of confidence or which any person has been compelled to provide under the authority of any enactment, where the making available of the information – would be likely to prejudice the supply of similar information, or information from the same source, and it is in the public interest that such information should continue to be supplied.”

If you disagree with our decision to withhold information you may, under section 28(3) of the Official Information Act, seek an investigation and review of our decision from the Ombudsman. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.Ombudsman.parliament.nz; or Freephone 0800 802 602.

I trust that this satisfies your interest in this matter.

Please note that this response, or an edited version of this response, may be published on the Canterbury DHB website after your receipt of this response.

Yours sincerely

Carolyn Gullery
Executive Director
Planning, Funding & Decision Support
Dear Mental Health Colleagues,

A group of your colleagues have been meeting for the past few months to address the recognised and ongoing violence towards nurses here in CDHB including our Specialist Mental Health areas.

We recently progressed this issue by meeting with Chief Executive Officer David Meates, Director of Nursing Mary Gordon, Dr John Woods Chair of CDHB and Michael Frampton from the People and Capabilities team.

We expressed our concerns at the ongoing violence nurses are subjected to daily at Hillmorton Hospital. Our approach appeared to be warmly received by David Meates and he has offered to be available to ALL Nursing staff at Hillmorton for two meetings. During the meeting he will be available for questions, and we encourage you to bring your questions to the meeting. Here, we can voice our concerns to him personally in a safe environment without fear of repercussions.

He is here to "hear your voices" and to "personally hear your stories".

DATES for meetings at Hillmorton Hospital **Lincoln Lounge** with David Meates CEO CDHB are Monday 3rd December 1000hrs. Friday 7th December 1400hrs.

Below is a list of subjects discussed at our previous meeting with CEO David Meates:

* If we are working towards “Eliminating seclusion by 2020”, what realistic, proven alternative strategies will be provided to keep nurses and other staff safe? Will our buildings be purpose built in time for this?
* Staff fears there will be further collateral damage from assaults on nurses through pushing ahead the reduction of seclusion without safe alternatives.
* What will happen to those patients who have incorporated wishes to be secluded into their own care plan and advanced directives, for when they become unwell?
* Current culture of fear and hopelessness among staff affecting staff retention, this needs clear investigation.
* Staff fears of speaking out after incidents, culture of incidences being minimised and that the experience of violence is an expectation of the role.
The breakdown in communication and transparency between management and staff, there is a need for nurses to be on executive boards

* Worksafe involvement in assessments and reporting of harm
* The role of WellNZ/ACC in accident claims, when is it safe to return to work. Clarification of light duties.
* Cumulative symptoms of trauma and PTSD among nursing staff and vicarious trauma experienced by their whanau
* CDHB Health and Safety reporting - transparency is needed in relation to the reporting of all assaults (verbal, physical and threats).
* Balancing the rights of clients with the rights of workers, clarity is required regarding rights on both sides.
* What "action" is being taken now to ensure staff safety?

We encourage you ALL to make yourself available for this opportunity. This is our future and we would love to see safety of staff encouraged to bring back our passion for this unique and amazing Nursing Specialty.

Kindest regards.
Your NZNO Delegates.
Section 9(2)(a)
Susan Fitzmaurice

From: Stephanie Manning
Sent: Wednesday, 5 December 2018 11:23 a.m.
To: David Meates
Cc: Michael Frampton; Mark Lewis (People & Capability); Tania Beynon
Subject: FW: CEO meeting

David,

I received the email below from Les this morning and he and Michael have asked me to forward it to you. Toni is also aware that I’m sending it to you.

Kind Regards
Steph

From: Section 9(2)(a)
Sent: Wednesday, 5 December 2018 8:50 a.m.
To: Stephanie Manning
Cc: Section 9(2)(a) Toni Gutschlag
Subject: CEO meeting

Dear David

NUPE is concerned at the ongoing serious assaults which continue to occur at Hillmorton Hospital. This also includes the Seager unit based at Princess Margaret Hospital. NUPE has been continually raising these issues for many years and it is only when these assaults reach the media then the DHB has a kneejerk reaction and does something. Too often we hear “it goes with the job”. Well that is not good enough and there needs to be a long term solution to address these assaults. At present any interventions are usually short term solutions to ensure staff safety but doesn’t take long to slip back to the status quo.

We realise the DHB cannot prevent every assault from occurring but can certainly do a lot more than present to mitigate the risk. We believe that a combined effort between the unions and the DHB with a genuine commitment from the DHB we could reach an outcome which would give long term permanent protection for our members and other staff. I don’t believe there has ever been an approach from the DHB to engage with the unions to in an attempt to seek a solution and as important stakeholders you need to involve and work with us more for the safety of staff.

I have spoken with Lead Organisers from NZNO and PSA and we all agree on a bi-partisan approach in an attempt to resolve these issues. In the first instance we would seek a meeting with you and your team to get matters rolling and look forward to your early response.

Kind regards

Section 9(2)(a)

National Union of Public Employees
Trade Union Centre
68 Langdons Road, Papanui
PO Box 13032
CHRISTCHURCH 8053
I do not work Wednesdays so any emails received on a Wednesday will be read the following day.

This email message and any attachment(s) is intended solely for the addressee(s) named above. The information it contains is confidential and may be legally privileged. Unauthorised use of the message, or the information it contains, may be unlawful. If you have received this message by mistake please call the sender immediately on 03 3773582 or notify us by return email and erase the original message and attachments.

The National Union of Public Employees accepts no responsibility for changes made to this email or to any attachments after transmission from the office.

Thank you.
Section 9(2)(a)
Section 9(2)(a) & 9(2)(ba)(i)

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT
Hello Michael

I fully understand the CDHB’s legitimate desire to ensure that all unions are fully engaged in this process. I endorse this sentiment and believe we need to make this a genuine multi-union, multi-disciplinary approach.

To that end, and perhaps stating the obvious, I ask that you arrange another meeting as soon as possible and prior to the end of 2018. The issue is both extremely important and very urgent.

The PSA looks forward to receiving an invite to another meeting in the very near future.

Are we now reverting to a regular BAG meeting? There are ongoing issues to discuss.

Kind regards

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Sarah.Connell@cdhb.health.nz <Sarah.Connell@cdhb.health.nz> On Behalf Of Michael Frampton
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 4:19 PM
To: Section @apex.org.nz; Section @nzrda.org.nz; Section @meras.co.nz; Se @asms.nz; Section ; Alice Rabbidge; Bernard Timings; Bernice Marra; Beth Shearer; Section 9(2)(a); Section 9(2)(a) @meras.co.nz; Section 9(2)(a) @nzrda.org.nz; Section 9(2)(a) @meras.co.nz; Se @asms.nz; Section ; Alice Rabbidge; Bernard Timings; Bernice Marra; Beth Shearer; Section 9(2)(a); Section 9(2)(a) Dan Coward; Section 9(2)(a) Evon Currie; Felicity Woodham; Heather Gray (Director of Nursing); Section 9(2)(a) John Miller; Section Kirsten Beynon; Section Mark Lewis (People & Capability); Section 9(2)(a) Pauline Clark; Section 9(2)(a) Stephanie Manning; Stu Bigwood; Toni Gutschlag; Win McDonald; Section 9(2)(a) Se @nupe.org.nz; David Meates; Mary Gordon (Executive Director of Nursing); Tania Beynon
Subject: Canceled: Keeping our People Safe and Well: Union Engagement
When: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 3:00 PM-4:30 PM (UTC+12:00) Auckland, Wellington.
Where: Room 211, Level 2, CDHB Corporate Building, 32 Oxford Terrace
Importance: High

Further to my note below, I’ve received feedback from some of you that next Tuesday is not feasible, including because one of our union partners is in collective bargaining. We’re committed to ensuring that we have all of our union partners involved in this conversation and therefore please expect a revised invite early tomorrow as a priority.

My apologies for any inconvenience caused and I look forward to meeting with you next week.
Tēnā koutou

I’d like to continue the conversation about how we partner together to deliver on our shared commitment to the health, safety and wellbeing of our people, your members.

Some weeks ago, you were invited to be involved in the initial stages of the development and implementation of the Canterbury DHB and West Coast DHB Worker Participation and Engagement system. More than 50 of our staff and union partner representatives participated in a workshop held two weeks ago to commence this work with focus and urgency.

You’ll also be aware of the recent serious incidents within our Specialist Mental Health Service at Hillmorton Hospital. A number of your organisations have requested that we work collectively and in partnership to step through the challenges that our mental health services in particular face.

It’s in this context that I’m therefore proposing to hold a meeting on Tuesday, 11 December 2018, focussed on how we can collectively work together to continue improving the safety of the work environment for our people.

The meeting will be attended by David Meates, myself and other members of the DHB’s Senior Leadership Team.

Meeting details:
Date: 11 December 2018
Time: 3pm to 4:30pm
Location: Room 211, Level 2, CDHB Corporate Building, 32 Oxford Terrace

I apologise for the short notice but I hope that you can appreciate the importance of focussing our discussion on those matters which are most important to us right now. Please confirm your attendance by acceptance of this invitation.

Ngā mihi maioha
Michael

Michael Frampton
Chief People Officer
Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board

T: 03 364 4138 | M: | E: michael.frampton@cdhb.health.nz
Level 1, Corporate Office, 32 Oxford Terrace | PO Box 1600 | Christchurch | New Zealand
www.cdhb.health.nz | www.wcdhb.health.nz

************************************************************************************
******
This email and attachments have been scanned for content and viruses and is believed to be clean. This email or attachments may contain confidential or legally privileged information intended for the sole use of the addressee(s). Any use, redistribution, disclosure, or reproduction of this message, except as intended, is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender and remove all copies of the message,
Susan Fitzmaurice

From: Stephanie Manning
Sent: Friday, 7 December 2018 9:38 a.m.
To: David Meates; Mary Gordon (Executive Director of Nursing)
Cc: Michael Frampton; Tania Beynon; Mark Lewis (People & Capability)
Subject: FW: Today's SMHS meetings

Importance: High

David and Mary,

Please see note below from Section 9(2) at the PSA regarding the meeting set for 2pm today with SMHS staff (I've noted he spelt your name wrong David and therefore you may not have received it).

Tania and I are happy to draft a response on your behalf if that would be helpful, noting the complexity of the situation and the context which led to this meeting which Anthony may not be aware of.

Please let me know if a draft response from us would be helpful.

Thanks
Steph

From: Section 9(2)(a) <@psa.org.nz]
Sent: Friday, 7 December 2018 9:18 a.m.
To: david.meater@cdhb.health.nz
Cc: Stephanie Manning; Michael Frampton; Warwick Jones; Se@nupe.org.nz'; '; John Miller; Toni Gutschlag
Subject: Today's SMHS meetings
Importance: High

Hello David

I have received a copy of the email below, regarding the meeting you are holding with nursing staff today.

The PSA is fully supportive of your desire to interact with staff regarding the recent serious assault incidents at Hillmorton, and how the CDHB and staff can work together to eliminate/reduce the incidents of violence by patients against staff, other patients and whanau.

However our concern is that a key issue seems to have been lost: violence against staff is not solely a nursing concern. The PSA notes:

1 Social Workers and Occupational Therapists are among the staff who are now receiving compulsory restraint training. As the main union for these staff, we are aware of a number of incidents or violence or near violence against Allied Health staff. Yet their voice is not being heard today.
2 The Pukenga Atawhai staff are a pivotal part of de-escalation strategies in SMHS. Again, this means they are constantly in situations where violence may occur against them. Yet without them, the number of violent assaults would be significantly higher. Yet because they are not deemed to be nursing staff, again their voice is not being heard today.
3 Clerical staff have been caught up in a number of incidents, or have witnessed them. This has an effect on them as well.
4 Finally, PSA would note that, while the majority of nurses are NZNO members, both PSA and NUPE do represent these staff; and between us we represent the vast majority of your Allied Health and Clerical
staff. To this end, it is disappointing that today’s meetings have not been opened up to PSA. I expect that NUPE will be equally disappointed in this exclusion.

David, on behalf of our members – Nursing, Allied Health and Clerical – we ask both that today’s meeting is opened up to ALL staff, and that you invite other unions to be in attendance. We respect the original reasons for the meeting, but clearly events have progressed. We appreciate that the DHB has agreed to our request for a multi-union, multi-disciplinary meeting next week, and we welcome this. But a combined approach needs to be the approach from the beginning: that includes today’s meeting.

Please call me on Section 9(2) if you need to discuss this.

Kind regards

From: Susan Fitzmaurice On Behalf Of David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 2:02 p.m.
To: SMHS - All Staff
Subject: Message for all SMHS Nursing staff

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. *As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.*

I look forward to meeting on Friday at 2pm.

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
T: 03 364 4110 (ext 62110) | E: david.meates@cdhb.health.nz
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140
From: Samantha Chapman  
Sent: Friday, 7 December 2018 6:29 p.m.  
Subject: Message from David Meates to all Specialist Mental Health Staff  
Importance: High

Dear mental health colleagues,

Please see below a note from our Chief Executive, David Meates.

A message from David Meates to all Specialist Mental Health Staff:

This afternoon I had another meeting with nursing staff where a range of issues were discussed. I want to make it clear, that while today’s meeting was with nurses, it is well understood that the issues relating to violence are of concern to all staff members in the Mental Health Services, and are not unique to nursing. There are a range of upcoming forums that are being organised for all Hillmorton staff regardless of your role. Details will be sent out shortly.

I want to reiterate that violence against any staff member in any part of the organisation is never ok, and we are actively working through a range of options to see how we can make our Specialist Mental Health services a safer place for everyone.

-ENDS-

Best regards,

Samantha Chapman  
Senior Communications Advisor – mental health  
Canterbury District Health Board  
Corporate Office, 32 Oxford Terrace, Christchurch  
M: Samantha.Chapman@cdhb.health.nz
Section 9(2)(a) & 9(2)(ba)(i)
Agree. This is not a bad article that is at least balanced. The time spent with [redacted] has paid off.

Sent from my iPhone

> On 15/12/2018, at 5:35 AM, [redacted] wrote:
> I think the walk around with [redacted] was time well-spent.
> <1051615536.pdf>

Canterbury & West Coast District Health Boards
Thank you for providing confirmation of your visit to Hillmorton at 09.00am on Monday 17 December 2018. We look forward to working collaboratively with you to address the health and safety challenges in various facilities operated by Canterbury DHB, with a particular focus on Hillmorton Hospital and Princess Margaret Hospital. Toni Gutschlag (General Manager Mental Health Services) and [Name] (Head of Wellbeing Health and Safety) are working though the arrangements to ensure you are supported and get the information you require.

You have noted in your email that you have emailed Toni Gutschlag with the outline of your assessment. However, I understand from Toni that she did not receive this email, though she has now received your appointment.

Thank you for providing WorkSafe’s summary of the meeting held 5th April 2018. As a matter of record, I would like to clarify the following based on our records:

- The meeting WorkSafe attended was with the Hillmorton Safe Staffing Group. This was at the request of NUPE, PSA and NZNO Unions to clarify WorkSafe’s monitoring and notification procedures. This is not one of our Health and Safety Committees, but rather a bi-partisan action group that is set up through the Nursing Collective Agreement (MECA). This group was established to inform the staffing and resourcing of Specialist Mental Health Services (SMHS). As part of this work, Unions and staff work on issues of mutual interest and this has included discussions about staff assaults.
- During this meeting we understand that WorkSafe offered to present to the Canterbury DHB Board Chair.
- Following the meeting NUPE provided a summary of the meeting to the Board and noted that WorkSafe was willing to meet with the Board.
- The Board Secretary has no record of a formal request from WorkSafe for a meeting.

To avoid future confusion over WorkSafe visits and meeting requests, I would ask that:

- As agreed, all future communications in relation to the SMHS Assessment are via both Toni Gutschlag and [Name] (Head of Wellbeing, Health and Safety); and
- All other future communications and meeting requests between WorkSafe and CDHB are via [Name] and [Position] (Chief People Officer), who will ensure the appropriate arrangements are made expeditiously.

The Board is looking forward to meeting with Worksafe in the new year.

Regards

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board

P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140
www.cdhb.health.nz | www.westcoastdHB.org.nz
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 12:32 p.m.
To: David Meates [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Re: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Kia ora David

Firstly Jo and I would like to thank you and your team for making the time to meet with us last Thursday 6th of December.

It was acknowledged WorkSafe and the Christchurch District Health Board are in agreement to work collaboratively to address the health and safety risks in various facilities within Christchurch in particular Hillmorton Hospital and Princess Margaret Hospital. It was also agreed that WorkSafe and The Christchurch District Health Board will coordinate media responses to ensure our messages are aligned. We look forward to fostering a relationship of open communication and co-operation to ensure all workers return home after work happy and safe.

As requested I have attached a summary of the meeting that took place in April earlier this year for your records.

It has been acknowledged there is an increased number of assaults of workers within Hillmorton Hospital in particular the Te Awakura Unit and the Assessment, Treatment and Rehabilitation Unit. WorkSafe will now be conducting a number of workplace assessments, one of these will be prior to Christmas 2018 and respond as per its findings.

Our initial assessment will be looking at and understanding:-

- The organisational structure of Specialist Mental Health Services division.
- Workforce composition and size.
- Facility familiarisation in particular Te Awakura and AT&R Units
- Identification of critical/key risks and what controls are in place.

In successive co-ordinated assessments in the new year we will also be reviewing:-

- Officer due diligence, to assess how Officers monitor and evaluate health and safety within the Organisation.
- Review worker engagement and participation processes.
- Review health and safety reporting systems

We may also ask that a Health and Safety Representative is made available for an Inspector to speak to on the day of the assessment. I have been in contact with Toni Gutschlag and we have arranged to attend Hillmorton at 09:00 a.m. on Monday 17th of December next week. I have emailed Toni with the outline of our assessment above.

I have supplied the link below of a guidance document for Directors you may find useful.

Ngā mihi nui
Canterbury & West Coast
General Inspectorate

2 Degrees House
Level 2
351 Lincoln Road
Addington
Christchurch 8024

WORKSAFE
NEW ZEALAND

Getting you home healthy and safe.
That's what we're working for.

www.govt.nz - your guide to finding and using New Zealand government services

Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of WorkSafe New Zealand. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.
Would be interested in Toni and Mary’s views. I am concerned that we get / allow fear to become contagious and therefore impossible to recruit staff and therefore problems become worse

Sent from my iPhone

> On 13/12/2018, at 10:54 AM, [REDACTED] wrote:
> 
> Do you want to comment?
> 
> ----Original Message-----
> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: Thursday, 13 December 2018 10:40 a.m.
> To: [REDACTED]
> Subject: The Press: comments/petition
> 
> Hi
> 
> Do you want to provide comment re comments, specifically the fear/dread staff have and how wouldn’t want people knew using the services to the violence?
> 
> Also, a petition has been launched by Christchurch man Paul Isaacs. Port Hills MP Ruth Dyson has agreed to deliver it to Parliament. It calls for an inquiry into assaults on staff at Hillmorton.
> 
> Does the CDHB want to comment? I discussed it in general with Toni the other day, but will probably include both and the petition in a story today.
> 
> If you’re able to provide something by 3pm that would be wonderful.
> 
> Cheers,
> 
> Sent from my iPhone
> 
> --
> The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail or any attached files is unauthorised. This e-mail is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this e-mail in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. The University does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this e-mail or attached files. Internet communications are not secure, therefore the University does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message or attached files.
Dear [Name]

When we met I was very clear that I was really happy to meet with nurses to both listen and hear the concerns and to ensure that nurses were being heard and that the organisation was hearing the concerns and fears. I was deeply concerned that there appeared to be a range of nursing roles that seem to be being excluded from conversations and that was never my intent.

Given the issues and concerns being raised it is really important that I am hearing all the nursing views as that is where most of the solutions will come from by all of us making this a great place to work. Most of the nurses in mental health are also NZNO members and we have been working really hard with NZNO to solve problems and create solutions and hence I would have an expectation as representatives of nursing are part of discussions about what needs to happen to improve things.

A divided nursing workforce is not conducive to a strong healthy culture that is required if we are going to make mental health services safe for everyone to work in. My intent was to enable a nursing only forum (separate from medical, allied health and support staff) to ensure that all nurses are heard. The more that professional groups are divided makes it more difficult to ensure that we are progressing solutions urgently that will help with the current situation.

I have a nursing workforce in mental health that are facing huge challenges and are under immense pressure. I am totally committed to working through and rapidly implementing appropriate solutions and to do that I do need all nurses feeling that they can be part of that.

I am absolutely committed that there will be no consequences for staff and would be very concerned if that was a message being promoted.

There are already a wide range of views within nursing on what options or solutions exist. CNS, CNE, CTCs and CNMs are ALL registered nurses and are clinical roles.

Regards

David

Sent from my iPhone

On 5/12/2018, at 8:10 PM, [Name] wrote:
After meeting

Sent from my iPhone

> On 4/12/2018, at 5:18 PM, [redacted] wrote:
> > Sam, if you could book a room that would be fab.
> > I’ve told [redacted] there could be some waiting involved, as the meeting with nurses doesn’t start till 2 and may run till 5 if anything like the last one.
> > Also mindful, that [redacted] is on air from 5pm - 6:30pm, so depending on timing, he may want to do a live interview.
> > Alternative is to do this interview on Friday morning, before the next meeting takes place – what are your thoughts?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > <meeting.ics>
Hi

Will ask [redacted] to arrange meeting ASAP. I think that there were some confused communications today.

Regards
David

Sent from my iPhone

On 3/12/2018, at 1:45 PM, [redacted] wrote:

Kia ora David

We would like to meet regarding recent meetings with yourself and NZNO delegates and representatives. We have no issue with delegates organising to meet but all parties need to be transparent and communicate arrangements and outcomes of such meetings.

Your meeting this morning with nurses has cause considerable collateral damage, mostly me getting emails from your allied health employees accusing NZNO of excluding workers who are also effected by current safety issues.

We believe you have a responsibility to engage fully with NZNO around agreements and commitments made to NZNO representatives and wish to discuss how this will happen.

Naku noa na

New Zealand Nurses Organisation | PO Box 4102 | Christchurch
<image001.jpg>
<image002.png>

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail
http://www.facebook.com/NZNursesOrganisation
When have we turned down a meeting with Worksafe?? Have I missed something?

Sent from my iPhone

On 3/12/2018, at 1:05 PM, [redacted] wrote:

Hi all – can someone advise on the Qs below?
[redacted] can you pls coordinate the response?

Hi all,

I need to get a response to this, particularly the request for an urgent meeting and the fact WorkSafe say risk management at the hospital is not at an acceptable level and the CDHB must improve things immediately.

We’re publishing soon. I’ve got comment from David from yesterday but would appreciate a response soon to this.

Questions below:

1) When will the board meet with WorkSafe?

2) Does it accept the above statements from the regulator?

3) What immediate steps will it take to improve risk management at Hillmorton Hospital?

4) What was discussed at the meeting with staff this morning?

5) What is the status of the nurse who was stabbed yesterday? Is she in Christchurch Hospital and was she admitted to the ED last night?

Thank you. Can you please let me know when you get this, and if you can provide a response soon.

Cheers,
Hi

Hopefully the following helps in answering your questions.

1. Anyone can notify WorkSafe (or raise a concern as noted in our OIA response to you in September)

2. It is the duty of the business to ensure it takes all reasonably practicable steps to protect the health and safety of its staff.

3. WorkSafe met with H&S reps, Unions and H&S managers in April this year regarding the ongoing issues at Hillmorton.
   a. Identified that better reporting was needed
   b. There was evidence that there was a trend in patient assaults developing, and risk management needed to be better
   c. The worker representatives and staff representatives were urged to engage with the Board to ensure the Board had good oversight and response to the evidence that was appearing

4. WorkSafe sought a meeting with the Board which has not been accepted

5. As a result of the concerns outlined above and the two recent events, of which one was advised to WorkSafe, we are seeking an urgent meeting with the Board and management to advise that risk management at the hospital is not at an acceptable level and must be improved immediately as required under S37 of HSWA – duties.

6. Some useful info:
   b. I also attach copies of Sections 23, 37 and 58-60 of HSWA which are especially relevant to this matter.

Thanks,
Hi there,

I've spoken to a number of nurses who are concerned and frustrated by WorkSafe specifically a perception it is unwilling to investigate workplace violence incidents at Hillmorton Hospital.

Last Monday, a mental health nurse suffered serious burns after a patient threw boiling water over her. She was hospitalised, which meets the criteria for a notifiable injury.

Nurses claim this has happened before, and say it could have been prevented by lowering the temperature of water accessible to patients.

Today (Sunday) a nurse was stabbed in the leg by a patient. I've been told she was also taken to hospital, however that's yet to be confirmed.

Both incidents took place in Te Awakura, the acute adult inpatient unit.

Questions below:

1) Who can notify an incident to WorkSafe?

2) Is WorkSafe aware of/has it been notified of the incident last Monday where a nurse had boiling water thrown over her at Hillmorton?

3) Is it investigating? If not, why not? What, if any, actions have been taken in relation to the incident?
4) Is WorkSafe aware of/has it been notified of the incident on Sunday where a nurse was stabbed by a patient at Hillmorton?

5) Is it investigating? If not, why not? What, if any, actions have been taken in relation to the incident?

6) Nurses are losing faith in WorkSafe over a perception it is not looking into incidents at Hillmorton. What response, if any, does the organisation have?

7) What is the actual threshold for WorkSafe to investigate? Does it require a death? Is a stabbing and serious burns not enough?

Thank you. Could you please confirm receipt of this email. We’re running a follow-up story tomorrow. Please provide a response by 1pm.

Cheers,

stuff Neighbourly THE PRESS

The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential, if you are not the intended
Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of WorkSafe New Zealand. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.
We might need to do. Decide on the morning

Sent from my iPhone

On 2/12/2018, at 8:39 PM, [redacted] wrote:

Begin forwarded message:

From: [redacted]
Date: 2 December 2018 at 5:35:52 PM NZDT
To: [redacted]
Cc: "communications@cdhb.health.nz" <communications@cdhb.health.nz>

Subject: Assault this weekend and meeting on Monday

Hey [redacted] just following up on my request earlier this week and the subsequent stabbing this weekend, are we able to organize an interview for Checkpoint with David or Toni after the meeting with nurses tomorrow?

Cheers,

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

Disclaimer
Emails sent by Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ) or any related entity, including any attachments, may be confidential, protected by copyright and/or subject to privilege. If you receive an email from RNZ in error, please inform the sender immediately, delete it from your system and do not use, copy or disclose any of the information in that email for any purpose. Emails to/from RNZ may undergo email filtering and virus scanning, including by third party contractors. However, RNZ does not guarantee that any email or any attachment is secure, error-free or free of viruses or other unwanted or unexpected inclusions. The views expressed in any non-business email are not necessarily the views of RNZ.
That is brilliant feedback.

Sent from my iPhone

On 28/11/2018, at 1:11 PM, [email redacted] wrote:

Hello

I have just returned from the feedback following the unannounced audit by the Ombudsman’s office and [redacted] email sums it up well.

Regards

[Signature]

[Redacted] Administration Building, Hillmorton Hospital, Private Bag 4733, Christchurch. www.cdhb.health.nz

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, 28 November 2018 1:02 p.m.
To: CAF Inpatient Unit <CAFInpatientUnit@cdhb.health.nz>
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: re ombudsmans feedback

Dear Team,

The ombudman’s feedback on the unit was in their words overwhelmingly positive. They were especially impressed with their observations of the staff interactions with the patients and the patients positive feedback re staff. They reported positively on what they saw in the clinical review in terms of the interactions between disciplines and they also really liked the core team approach. They were very pleased with [redacted] role as they had not seen this before.

The major negatives were around the building/facilities.

We will update you later re recommendations once they complete their report

Well done everyone, I am proud of you all
Thanks all – I've highlighted a couple of queries below.
Once we have a final draft I will share with the MoH comms person working on their response.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, 1 November 2018 11:53 a.m.
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: [Redacted] approval request - media enquiry - follow up to Sept 2018 OIA re: AT&R unit - The Press

Good morning Toni and [Redacted]

Please find below the draft response to this media enquiry concerning the AT&R Unit for your review and approval. Many thanks to [Redacted] team for their swift response.

I am new to this topic but appreciate the sensitivities, so a few changes may need to be made. Also, any advice for next time is welcome!

Please could you please share this with our MoH counterparts once approved?

Journalist: [Redacted]
Contact: [Redacted]
Deadline (to negotiate): Friday, 2 November 2018

Responses below are attributable to Toni Gutschlag, General Manager Specialist Mental Health Service, Canterbury District Health Board.

1) Please describe the two different client groups in the AT&R, and the way both patient groups are admitted and funded in the unit.

The Assessment, Treatment and Rehabilitation (AT&R) Unit provides inpatient assessment, treatment and rehabilitation for people with intellectual disabilities and people with significantly challenging behaviour(s) that may be broadly categorised into two main cohorts.

1. Care recipients or consumers under a part 3 assessment; these consumers are subject to legislation under the Intellectual Disability (Compulsory Care and Rehabilitation) Act due to the criminogenic component of their behaviour. *(criminogenic – a system, situation or place causing or likely to cause criminal behaviour. Is there a better description?)*

Can you explain/expand on what is meant by a part 3 assessment?
These consumers are admitted through the Forensic Coordination Services (Intellectual Disability) (FCS (ID)). In most cases, this includes care recipients whose community placement with the Regional Intellectual Supported Accommodation Service (RIDSAS) has become problematic due to an increase in challenging behaviours and/or containment issues. Additionally, patients may be directed to the RIDSAS AT&R Unit from the court if a part 3 assessment has been requested.

In certain cases, patients come under the high and complex needs framework (overseen by FCS (ID)) and patients will be appointed an intensive service co-ordinator via that service.

These beds are funded by the Ministry of Health and managed by the FCS (ID).

2. Patients with challenging behaviour and an intellectual disability:

Consumers in this cohort are not subject to the Intellectual Disability (Compulsory Care and Rehabilitation) Act, but most consumers will be subject to the Mental Health Act.

Consumers are admitted from their community or family home usually due to an increase in challenging behaviour and, more specifically, violence that cannot be safely managed in the community.

These beds are funded by the Ministry of Health and managed by NASC (Lifelinks)

2) In May, CDHB staff informed the Ministry they could not accept a patient because staff felt it would be unsafe to do so. How many times has the CDHB refused to accept patients into the AT&R on this basis this year?

The Canterbury District Health Board may refuse to admit a person into its service if there is too great a risk to safety and wellbeing of the staff, other consumers, or the consumer themselves. This is a decision we take seriously.

Since 1 January 2018, one individual on one occasion was refused admittance into the Assessment, Treatment and Rehabilitation Unit of Hillmorton Hospital.

3) Emails from the Ministry show it was focused on maintaining access to Regional Intellectual Disability Service beds over AT&R. How many beds are there in the AT&R in total, and how many are designated for this service?

The AT&R Unit has a total of 10 beds which are currently capped at 7 for safety reasons.

RIDSAS (regional funded beds) are divided into:
Can you explain what the difference in these beds is?
- Capacity funded beds (3) these beds are for people who are referred by x, and are usually admitted under the xxxx
- Fee for service beds (4) these beds are for people who are referred by x and are usually admitted under the xxxx
- Assessment bed (1) - can understand what this one is – for someone who is being assessed.
- All RIDS beds: (8)

4) Toni Gutschlag made it clear to the Ministry the financial cost of the service was unsustainable and there was initial reluctance signing a contract to continue its provision. What, if any, developments have there been since? Is the service still financially unsustainable? Has there been any funding increase?

Canterbury DHB is working closely with the Ministry of Health regarding the funding of these services.

There has not been a funding increase since the Official Information Act response 9914

5) Were admissions to the AT&R suspended? If so, when did this happen? For how long? And what did the CDHB do to cater to that patient group and advise groups like the police?
In the event of suspended admissions, contact is made with relevant Specialist Mental Health Services (SMHS) staff, NGOs, Forensic Coordination Intellectual Disability Service, and the Ministry of Health.

SMHS crisis response leaders are advised of the process to follow if a care recipient under the Intellectual Disability (Compulsory Care and Rehabilitation) Act presents with a mental health concern.

6) In June, the Ministry emailed to request the DHB temporarily suspend admissions to AT&R beds to prioritise RIDSS. Toni responded: "asking us to deny access to one cohort of consumers to give priority to another without adequate development of alternatives or guidance, feels risky and uncomfortable from a human rights perspective." What happened, was the contract suspended?

The contract was not suspended.

7) Has the Ministry provided any other assistance for the AT&R unit, either in terms of facilities development or staff recruitment?

No additional human or financial resources have been provided to the AT&R Unit since the Official Information Act response 9914.

8) In July, David Meates wrote to the Ministry about ‘defensive, intimidating, bullying and overtly forceful behaviors’ displayed by DSS. Since then, has there been any improvement in the relationship?

The Canterbury District Health Board and the Ministry of Health has resolved this issue and we continue to work together to ensure appropriate service delivery for the consumers and staff.

9) The financial breakdown included in the OIA response says there is a funding shortfall of $1,178,000 a year. Is that correct and current? Or has there been any change?

The funding situation has not changed since the Official Information Act response 9914.

From: Toni Gutschlag
Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 12:37 PM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Media enquiry - follow up to Sept 2018 OIA re: AT&R unit - The Press

Hi

I think it best to prepare written responses to these questions, the MOH will need to be made aware they’ve come in too I think.

David Meates, [REDACTED] and I are part of a group working with the MOH to address these issues, which are national as well as local.

I’d like to meet [REDACTED] some time too.

Kind regards
Toni
Good morning Toni,

Yesterday we received a media enquiry from The Press with a number of follow up questions for an OIA (September 2018) concerning the AT&R Unit. The MoH also received an enquiry regarding this issue. I have attached the OIA, as well as the media enquiry sent to the MoH for context.

The journalist has requested a telephone interview to discuss the OIA. Could you please scan the questions below and see if you think it would be appropriate for us to comment on this issue? One consideration is the risk of unintentionally speaking out against the MoH. And if you would prefer a written response, could you please advise who you would like me to reach out to to develop responses on AT&R Unit.

[Deleted]

[Deleted] our new media advisor, has pushed back the deadline to the end of the week. [Deleted] has just joined the CDHB and I would be happy to introduce you to him in the next couple of weeks.

Questions are as follows:

1) Please describe the two different client groups in the AT&R, and the way both patient groups are admitted and funded in the unit.

2) In May, CDHB staff informed the Ministry they could not accept a patient because staff felt it would be unsafe to do so. How many times has the CDHB refused to accept patients into the AT&R on this basis this year?
This might have been a single incident. We have been closed to admissions several times but I’m not sure if a patient presented at that time.

3) Emails from the Ministry show it was focused on maintaining access to Regional Intellectual Disability Service beds over AT&R. How many beds are there in the AT&R in total, and how many are designated for this service?

4) Toni Gutschlag made it clear to the Ministry the financial cost of the service was unsustainable and there was initial reluctance signing a contract to continue its provision. What, if any, developments have there been since? Is the service still financially unsustainable? Has there been any funding increase?
I understand there is some work happening within the MOH regarding funding of these services. I don’t have any specific information and there has not been a funding increase.

5) Were admissions to the AT&R suspended? If so, when did this happen? For how long? And what did the CDHB do to cater to that patient group and advise groups like the police?

6) In June, the Ministry emailed to request the DHB temporarily suspend admissions to AT&R beds to prioritise RIDSS. Toni responded: “asking us to deny access to one cohort of consumers to give priority to another without adequate development of alternatives or guidance, feels risky and uncomfortable from a human rights perspective.” What happened, was the contract suspended?
No it wasn’t.

7) Has the Ministry provided any other assistance for the AT&R unit, either in terms of facilities development or staff recruitment?
8) In July, David Meates wrote to the Ministry about 'defensive, intimidating, bullying and overtly forceful behaviors' displayed by DSS. Since then, has there been any improvement in the relationship?

Yes

9) The financial breakdown included in the OIA response says there is a funding shortfall of $1,178,000 a year. Is that correct and current? Or has there been any change?

No

Many thanks,

Best regards,

Senior Communications Advisor – mental health
Canterbury District Health Board

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 12:22 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: The Press: AT&R unit

Hi [redacted],

Are you able to assist with collating a response to this one as well?

Cheers

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, 30 October 2018 11:05 a.m.
To: [redacted]
Subject: The Press: AT&R unit

Hi all,

These questions relate to OIA response 9914 and refer to correspondence between the Ministry and the CDHB about the AT&R unit.
Questions below:

1) Please describe the two different client groups in the AT&R, and the way both patient groups are admitted and funded in the unit.

2) In May, CDHB staff informed the Ministry they could not accept a patient because staff felt it would be unsafe to do so. How many times has the CDHB refused to accept patients into the AT&R on this basis this year?

3) Emails from the Ministry show it was focused on maintaining access to Regional Intellectual Disability Service beds over AT&R. How many beds are there in the AT&R in total, and how many are designated for this service?

4) Toni Gutschlag made it clear to the Ministry the financial cost of the service was unsustainable and there was initial reluctance signing a contract to continue its provision. What, if any, developments have there been since? Is the service still financially unsustainable? Has there been any funding increase?

5) Were admissions to the AT&R suspended? If so, when did this happen? For how long? And what did the CDHB do to cater to that patient group and advise groups like the police?

6) In June, the Ministry emailed to request the DHB temporarily suspend admissions to AT&R beds to prioritise RIDSS. Toni responded: "asking us to deny access to one cohort of consumers to give priority to another without adequate development of alternatives or guidance, feels risky and uncomfortable from a human rights perspective." What happened, was the contract suspended?

7) Has the Ministry provided any other assistance for the AT&R unit, either in terms of facilities development or staff recruitment?

8) In July, David Mcates wrote to the Ministry about 'defensive, intimidating, bullying and overtly forceful behaviors' displayed by DSS. Since then, has there been any improvement in the relationship?

9) The financial breakdown included in the OIA response says there is a funding shortfall of $1,178,000 a year. Is that correct and current? Or has there been any change?

Thank you. If there's any chance of interviewing someone over the phone about this it would be much appreciated as the different client groups, legislation and management make this slightly difficult to interpret.

If not, please can you provide a response by 12pm tomorrow.

Cheers,
PO Box 2722, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail or any attached files is unauthorised. This e-mail is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this e-mail in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Fairfax Media Group does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this e-mail or attached files. Internet communications are not secure, therefore Fairfax Media Group does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message or attached files.
From: David Meates
Sent: Sunday, 16 December 2018 6:09 p.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Cc: 
Subject: Re: Worksafe 161218.pptx

Toni
A few comments:
Overall presentation shaping up well.
With staff turnover would be good to put into context with turnover rates nationally.
Would be good to have breakdown of assaults and which services es - have they increased? Impact of reduced seclusion

Sent from my iPhone

> On 16/12/2018, at 2:22 PM, Toni Gutschlag [REDACTED] wrote:
>
> Please find attached draft presentation for Worksafe tomorrow. They have asked for:
>
> • The organisational structure of Specialist Mental Health Services division.
> • Workforce composition and size.
> • Facility familiarisation in particular Te Awakura and AT&R Units
> • identification of critical/key risks and what controls are in place.
>
> The purpose of this presentation is to provide an overview of clinical context. The SMHS risk register will be available to view also.
>
> Apologies about timing but I would appreciate feedback about this tonight please if at all possible.
>
> CDHB staff attending tomorrow’s meeting with Worksafe will be reviewing at 8am.
>
> Specifically:
>
> [REDACTED] please check slides 24-27, I got this info from [REDACTED] who had also checked it with Finance.
> [REDACTED] can you please add in a couple of slides re employee
> incident management
>
> [REDACTED] can you please add a couple of points re spec [REDACTED] can you
> please add a couple of points re MHA if you think relevant.
>
> <Worksafe 161218.pptx>
Sent from my iPhone

- Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: 13 December 2018 at 4:53:33 AM NZDT
To: David Meates
Cc: [Redacted]

[Redacted]
We need to be thoughtful about telling the story and rationale as to why the changes were made in 2013 and the process behind that. We need to be very evidenced based regarding level of assaults and the positive impacts associated with reduction in seclusion.

It would be useful to be able to highlight the major safety issues etc that were in place with Pipiri and why things needed to change.

I think that this is an important opportunity of telling the mental health story.

Regards

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1000, Christchurch 8140

Hi all – please note that [ ] is looking to run a mental health feature on Saturday.
The latest line of questioning is suggesting that the changes to the ward layout/structure in 2013 are behind the increasing violence, and that overcrowding and sleepovers were a problem before the quakes.
Appreciate your input.
Also, please not question re allowing a photographer in.
We have provided them with some pics last year – but can facilitate if manageable and you are agreeable.
Hi [Name]

More context for you: the article will likely run on Saturday as an in-depth looking at this nurse's claims/talking to others about the reasons for the level of violence in the acute inpatient unit.

I've looked at some documents that pre-date the earthquakes, including this summary of an external review of Te Awakura conducted in 2008. [link]

It mentions overcrowding was an issue then, as were sleepovers - so those are issues that clearly pre-date the earthquakes.

There's also this study: [link] which looks at the impact of the restructure of Te Awakura in 2013.

Rates of unauthorised absences increased by 58 per cent, and there was also an increase (deemed not significant) of violent incidents, however seclusion hours dropped by 53 per cent.

Questions below:

1) How does the CDHB explain the current high rates of violence in Te Awakura?

2) Overcrowding and sleepovers were an issue before the earthquakes, why have they not been resolved?

3) Does the CDHB accept that the 2013 restructure and move towards a less restrictive environment has contributed to the current high rates of violence?

4) Does the CDHB have any more comment on the state of facilities at Hillmorton, namely the claim they are among the worst mental health facilities in the country?

5) Are there any current plans to increase rebuild or improve Te Awakura?

Also [Name] is there any chance a photographer would be allowed to shoot pictures inside Te Awakura? With the proviso that they would not photograph any patients. Let me know.

If you're able to provide a response to these questions by 2pm tomorrow that would be appreciated.

Cheers,

[Signature]

158 Gloucester St, Christchurch, 8011
PO Box 2722, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail or any attached files is unauthorised. This e-mail is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this e-mail in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Fairfax Media Group does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this e-mail or attached files. Internet communications are not secure, therefore Fairfax Media Group does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message or attached files.
From: David Meates
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 1:02 p.m.
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Re: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]
Attachments: April meeting Summary.docx
Importance: High

Please note attached letter and email below.

Regards

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 12:32 PM
To: David Meates <David.Meates@cdhb.health.nz>
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: Re: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Kia ora David

Firstly and I would like to thank you and your team for making the time to meet with us last Thursday 6th of December.

It was acknowledged WorkSafe and the Christchurch District Health Board are in agreement to work collaboratively to address the health and safety risks in various facilities within Christchurch in particular Hillmorton Hospital and Princess Margaret Hospital. It was also agreed that WorkSafe and The Christchurch District Health Board will coordinate media responses to ensure our messages are aligned. We look forward to fostering a relationship of open communication and co-operation to ensure all workers return home after work happy and safe.

As requested I have attached a summary of the meeting that took place in April earlier this year for your records.

It has been acknowledged there is an increased number of assaults of workers within Hillmorton Hospital in particular in the Te Awakura Unit and the Assessment, Treatment and Rehabilitation Unit. WorkSafe will now be conducting a number of workplace assessments, one of these will be prior to Christmas 2018 and respond as per its findings.
Our initial assessment will be looking at and understanding:

- The organisational structure of Specialist Mental Health Services division.
- Workforce composition and size.
- Facility familiarisation in particular Te Awakura and AT&R Units
- Identification of critical/key risks and what controls are in place.

In successive co-ordinated assessments in the new year we will also be reviewing:

- Officer due diligence, to assess how Officers monitor and evaluate health and safety within the Organisation.
- Review worker engagement and participation processes.
- Review health and safety reporting systems

We may also ask that a Health and Safety Representative is made available for an Inspector to speak to on the day of the assessment. I have been in contact with Toni Gutschlag and we have arranged to attend Hillmorton at 09:00 a.m. on Monday 17th of December next week. I have emailed Toni with the outline of our assessment above.


Ngā mihi nui

Canterbury & West Coast
General Inspectorate

2 Degrees House
Level 2
351 Lincoln Road
Addington
Christchurch 8024

www.worksafe.govt.nz

WORK
NEW ZEALAND

Getting you home healthy and safe.
That's what we're working for.
Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of WorkSafe New Zealand. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.
Date: 11 December 2018

Level 2 351 Lincoln Road
Addington
Christchurch

Kia ora David

Summary notes from 5 April 2018 meeting

As discussed there was a meeting conducted at Hillmorton Hospital 5th of April 2018 between Chief Inspector (General Inspectorate Southern) and Healthy Workplace Committee. This was in response to an assault on 3 nurses in March 2018. The committee consisted of H&S reps, Union, H&S Managers and an HR Manager.

Details in summary discussed at this meeting were:

- Critical risks discussion and identification.
- Discussion on notification requirements.
- Assaults raised as biggest risk.
- Health and safety reporting stats LTI at 4 x increase over past 5 years.
- Limited pool of resources (staffing)
- Views from some that “I must help” causing concern.
- Business paper to be presented to the board to indicate increasing staff resources required and to discuss an early intervention programme to manage assaults on workers.
- WorkSafe requested to meet with the Board to further discuss critical risk and management. This meeting was to be initiated by the by the Health Workplace Committee in consultation with the CDHB Board but this never occurred.

Please don’t hesitate to make contact if you require any further information in relation to this matter.

Ngā mihi nui
From: David Meates
Sent: Monday, 10 December 2018 8:56 p.m.
To: [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Just a personal message

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [redacted]
Date: 10 December 2018 at 7:06:24 PM NZDT
To: [redacted]
Subject: Just a personal message

Hi David and sorry this is a bit later than it should have been but just wanted to say keep up your amazing work. You/we will get through this Hillmorton stuff and end up with safe staff and safe patients. Take care.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)
From: David Meates
Sent: Monday, 10 December 2018 6:44 a.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: Fwd: Hillmorton WOF ...FAIL.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: 10 December 2018 at 3:43:49 AM NZDT
To: David Meates
Subject: Hillmorton WOF ...FAIL.
From: David Meates
Sent: Sunday, 9 December 2018 4:03 p.m.
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Mental Health
Attachments: Slide for Mental Health.pptx
Importance: High

Over the past few months Mental Health has seen an increase in the number of serious unprovoked acts of violence against staff resulting in some very sickening injuries.

This has built on a service that has been grappling with significant increases in activity and acuity and a range of facilities that are not fit for purpose in spite of a number of “patch up” jobs following the earthquakes.

While there is a lot that has been happening over the years in mental health we are needing to move quickly to ensure that we have all the appropriate steps and actions in place (both now and into the future) to ensure that mental health services are safe and appropriate.

Over the past week a number of us have meet with two groups of nurses with further meetings scheduled with staff on the Hilmorton campus over the coming two weeks to hear both concerns relating to safety of staff as well as options / solutions to make things better.

There are a number of themes / possible options that have been clearly articulated last week and I am sure that there will be more over the coming weeks. I have detailed some of these below and suggested actions / next steps that need to occur along with a lead person (s) identified to ensure that the right dialogue, conversations and input occurs – I am very happy for any suggestions and thoughts as to who might be more appropriate. One thing that is very clear is that we all need to agree on a single coordinated action plan.

I would ask that Toni, [Redacted] take the lead in pulling everything together with support and input happening from key people identified below. It is important that there is a visible action plan to address the issues being highlighted.

**Key Themes / Areas of Focus (in no order of priority)**

- Interface between adult and forensic services – concerns about support, alignment and interface between these services – Toni, [Redacted]
- Options / solutions for dealing with meth / synthetics including interface with Police regarding detox etc – Toni, [Redacted]

A single source of truth regarding staff numbers, turnover, recruitment, vacancies by service that needs to be visible to Mental Health. Currently this doesn’t appear to exist in a way where all parties are referring to the same information – [Redacted] Toni

Safety First / Incident reporting – weekly / monthly reporting of both patient and staff incidents, actions / responses to incidents and closing the loop with incidents back to staff. Currently there seems to be variable understanding / visibility and lack of clarity / consistency about what is being reported (staff feedback that the need to complete two forms – one for patients and one for staff is complicated and therefore doesn’t happen or that patient and staff incidents are interrelated) – [Redacted]
Lack of action regarding serious incidents. This seems to relate to visibility of what is occurring and actions being taken. – Toni

Exit doors in the high care areas. There seems to be some confusion as to whether this issue has been raised and whether there are options to deal with this. The lack of an alternative exit is seen by staff as a high risk and contributing to concerns about staff safety. Need to understand what is required and options and timeline to deal with issue – Toni

Security Guards – seen as an important interim step. 2 additional security commenced on Friday for 24/7 with support and training being provided by senior nursing staff eg ******** Need to monitor effectiveness and support – Toni

Progress re joint NZNO / DHB working on alternative support staff framework instead of security guards. This needs to be being developed and actioned urgently. Toni

Police. A number of issues relating to linkages with police raised by staff

- Variation in response times and reactions to incidents in adult inpatient services – need to develop an agreed protocol with Police and Mental Health Staff as to what incidents should require a Police response and expectations about response times etc – Toni, ******** (P&C)
- Concern that assaults on staff often don’t result in offender being charged and victim being spoken to by police. Often a sense that because a mental health patient is involved that a prosecution won’t be successful in court therefore not worth charging – Protocol to be developed and agreed between Police and Mental Health. Toni
- Police have agreed to a meeting with staff. Toni

Concern regarding DHB follow up and support with staff that have been assaulted. Options raised regarding the introduction of a “welfare officer” to follow up / case manage as appropriate. To cover all staff groups including Nursing, Allied Health, Medical and Support staff. ********

Worksafe. Following the increase and seriousness of injuries, worksafe are to undertake an assessment across Mental Health (scope still to be finalised) to review and understand current systems, risk processes etc. This will commence prior to Christmas with a follow up at the end of February. Worksafe is an issue that has been raised by a number of parties including staff who don’t think that they have responded to concerns raised by staff. Worksafe also looking to become more engaged with health so this is going to be an important process for both them and the DHB. Toni, ******** to co-ordinate with Worksafe.

Summary of reports and reviews undertaken across Mental Health Services including MOH and unannounced audits. These will be important for both Worksafe and Board. - ********

Community Options. Need to review the attached slide and confirm both timelines and actions. ******** Toby

Debrief. A number of staff have highlighted concerns about the need for a more structured debrief process occurring after incidents to ensure that feedback and lessons are occurring – with appropriate input from ********

Funding / Financials – we need to ensure that we have an agreed, reconciled and lined up view of funding and budgets including whats in the ringfence, regional etc. ******** Toby

Inpatient Intensive Care. Do we require and if so options. – Toni

Adult Inpatient bed numbers. Options to reduce the number of inpatient beds. – viable or not??, Toni

Facility Business Case. Exploration of new adult facility developed in Middlemore including Business Case and Facility design – ******** David.
This will not be a full list and very happy for things to be added / deleted and improved. However, I do want a single very clear and agreed action plan.

Regards

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

make it better

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hīpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Contingent On</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Crisis Services</td>
<td>NGO Contract&lt;br&gt;Recruitment of Support Workers and orientation to crisis response</td>
<td>Deferred pending MH Inquiry outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community withdrawal management increased</td>
<td>NGO certification requirements met within existing facility&lt;br&gt;Additional medical staff in place</td>
<td>Feedback from consultation necessitates a more in-depth analysis of the current role and future model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone based Crisis services</td>
<td>Contract with Homecare medical Ltd (HML) for provision</td>
<td>Discussion between SMHS and HML occurring to develop contractual framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased options for children and young People</td>
<td>NGO contract&lt;br&gt;Recruitment of additional workforce to enhance current provision</td>
<td>Increased capacity agreed from early 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced community Support workforce</td>
<td>NGO contract/S&lt;br&gt;Recruitment</td>
<td>Deferred while Mana Ake being established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Acute Residential Service</td>
<td>Selection of a provider/s and contract in place&lt;br&gt;Facility and staff sourced&lt;br&gt;Development of operational protocols with CMAE</td>
<td>Model agreed&lt;br&gt;Contract in place with Pathways Health and working group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: David Meates
Sent: Friday, 7 December 2018 11:13 a.m.
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: URGENT - FOR APPROVAL - Draft statement - in response to this morning's assault.

Happy with this statement

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, 7 December 2018 11:07 AM
To: David Meates, Toni Gutschlag

Subject: URGENT - FOR APPROVAL - Draft statement - in response to this morning’s assault.
Importance: High

Morena

We’ve received the first media query about this morning’s assault when a security guard was seriously assaulted. Police and ambulance were called.

Please attribute comment to David Meates, Chief Executive, Canterbury DHB

I can confirm an incident took place on the Hillmorton campus this morning which resulted in a security team member being assaulted.

The staff member is currently being assessed in the Emergency Department.

I vehemently condemn all assaults against staff, patients and visitors.

Staff and patient safety at Hillmorton is a priority and we have a further meeting today with staff about this.

We are introducing additional measures to help make Hillmorton a safer place for everyone.
Kind regards

Canterbury and West Coast District Health Boards
Corporate Office, 32 Oxford Terrace, Christchurch

Values – A matau uara
Care and respect for others – Moe kore me te kotoro i eiahi
Integrity in all we do – Hapai i a matau mehi
Responsibility for outcomes – Ka whakakite i ia hua
From: David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 6:41 p.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: Fwd: Message for all SMHS Nursing staff
Attachments: image001.jpg

From: On Behalf Of David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 2:02 p.m.
To: SMHS - All Staff
Subject: Message for all SMHS Nursing staff

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.

I look forward to meeting on Friday at 2pm.

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te takohanga i ngā hua
Great

From: David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 6:07 p.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag;

Subject: RE: Update following meeting with WorkSafe

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140
www.cdhb.health.nz | www.westcoastdHB.org.nz

Values – Ō Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ō mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono |
Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

I’d like to do it.

From: Toni Gutschlag
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 5:53 PM
To: David Meates

Subject: RE: Update following meeting with WorkSafe

Really comfortable with this. Best to come from Toni or me??

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140
www.cdhb.health.nz | www.westcoastdHB.org.nz

Values – Ō Mātou Uara
Hi all – would appreciate feedback on this draft note to for Toni G to send out to all Specialist Mental Health Staff tomorrow the morning:

Kia ora koutou

Following a meeting on Thursday with representatives from WorkSafe and the NZNO, I wanted to update you.

We had a constructive conversation and both organisations are committed to working together to address safety issues within our service. Worksafe will carry out an assessment of our current Health and Safety policies, systems, processes and practices. At this time the scope hasn’t been confirmed – although Te Awakura and the A T & R unit are services of interest. It’s planned that this assessment will start before Christmas and the process will likely take a couple of months.

The assessment will be designed to cover the following:
- to ensure we are meeting our obligations under the Health & Safety at Work Act [2015]
- to understand how well we are identifying and managing risks
- to promote ways of achieving sustained improvements in managing risks to health and safety.

I will let you know when I have more information.

Kind regards

Canterbury and West Coast District Health Boards
Corporate Office, 32 Oxford Terrace, Christchurch

Values – A matou wana
Care and respect for others – Manaaki me te kotua i e tahi
Integrity in all we do – Hapai i a matou mahi
Responsibility for outcomes – Kaiwhakarite i ka hua
Sharryn Sunbeam

From: David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 6:07 p.m.
To: [Redacted]
Cc: RE: DRAFT RESPONSE FOR REVIEW RE: Official Information Act request C DHB 9972 - Response

Subject: RE: DRAFT RESPONSE FOR REVIEW RE: Official Information Act request C DHB 9972 - Response

Hi all,

Have made a few comments and suggestions below

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
PO Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do – Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

Hi all,

Is running this story tomorrow. Can you please provide feedback on the draft response we have so far below?

Once finalised and we have security guard numbers from [Redacted] we will forward [Redacted]

Cheers

"Hi"

Please see below for a response; to be attributed to David Meates, Chief Executive, Canterbury DHB:

1) Is the CDHB concerned by the number of resignations in its mental health nursing group?
   We are concerned by the number of resignations within our mental health nursing staff as the number of experienced mental health nurses is a finite resource. We do have a number of new graduate nurses who will be starting in 2019. Toni, do you want to put a number in here? I understand we have 22 who have confirmed and more on the verge of signing up. We could say ‘more than 20’.
2) Nurses say violence is affecting staff retention. Does the CDHB believe this to be a factor? There are a range of factors that affect staff retention in our mental health services, including violence against staff. These services are under significant pressure and this is impacting not only the care we provide our consumers but the safety of our staff.

However, our mental health service is also currently caring for twice the volume of patients than prior to the Canterbury earthquakes with the same number of beds and in inadequate facilities, and this is also putting pressure on our staff.

Negative media coverage is also impacting our ability to recruit. While we are not suggesting that media don’t report on mental health issues, we are keen to see more balance and accuracy in terms of some of the initiatives that are in place. The current practice of taking photos and quotes from social media, which only represents one segment of our nursing workforce in mental health is frustrating, as comments are taken as ‘facts’. Media may be surprised to hear that we have mental health nurses who, despite the challenges, find their job rewarding. Tony - can we identify someone who loves their MH nursing role and is prepared to talk. If we can’t I don’t think we should make the comment.

3) What, if any, resolutions came from David Meates meeting with WorkSafe?

This was a constructive conversation and both organisations are committed to working together. As a result of the meeting we will be working with WorkSafe to ensure that:

- we are meeting our obligations under the legislation WorkSafe administers
- we are identifying and managing risks
- we promote ways of achieving sustained improvements in managing risks to health and safety

4) The regulator previously said the CDHB needs to make immediate improvements. Please outline what, if any, steps the CDHB plans to take to manage risk at Hillmorton?

CDHB has been managing significant risks for a number of years resulting with doubling of mental health patient numbers and increased acuity post-earthquake and managing within not fit for purpose facilities. We are taking action to reduce the risk of violence within our service. For example, the Specialist Mental Health Service is working on a project with the New Zealand Nurses Organisation (NZNO) to support a safe and sustainable acute inpatient service. The project aims to address assaults and threats, and is looking at ways to proactively and systematically prevent, de-escalate and manage aggressive behaviour. The project is also reviewing leadership roles and crisis admission procedures, and taking steps to create a more calming and therapeutic environment.

Other improvements that have been made include:

- Robust High Care Area doors being installed at Te Awakura
- The boiling water machines being turned off
- The doors to the high care areas have been replaced with more solid metal doors
- Furniture in Te Awakura has been replaced with heavier weight furniture that can’t be picked up and thrown

On Monday I had the opportunity to sit down with some of the nursing staff from our Specialist Mental Health Services. We had an open and honest conversation and we discussed possible options for managing specific patient groups and strengthening some of our processes. We discussed the very real constraints of our current facilities and the impact on staff of feeling unsafe in their workplace. The staff presented a range of options, including increasing the security presence on the site, which we are looking to introduce as soon as possible.

5) How many security staff are employed by the CDHB at Te Awakura?
6) Are they stationed in the unit itself, and what ability do they have to respond to violent incidents?

7) Has the CDHB made any changes to the ability of patients to access boiling water?
   The boiling water machines which patients previously had access to, have now been turned off and decommissioned. Alternative arrangements have been made for staff access to boiling water.

Kind regards

Canterbury and West Coast District Health Boards
Level 1, Corporate Office, 32 Oxford Terrace, Christchurch

Canterbury District Health Board
Te Paki Mātāne o Wearato
www.cdhb.health.nz

West Coast District Health Board
Te Paki Mātāne o Wearato
www.westcoastdhb.org.nz

Values – A matou uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te kotua i etahi
Integrity in all we do - Hapai i a matou mahi katoa i ruka i te pono
Responsibility for outcomes - Kaiwhakarite i kia hua

From:    
Sent:    Thursday, 6 December 2018 12:46 p.m.
To:     
Subject: Fwd: RE Official Information Act request C DHB 9972 - Response

Hi all,

I have some questions re this OIA response, the meeting with WorkSafe, and allegations made by a patient I’ve spoken to who was recently in Te Awakura (have seen paperwork that confirms this to be the case).

The former patient said he did not feel secure during his time there, and said there was a noticeable lack of security in the unit.

He said patients access to boiling water for a wall-mounted unit was ridiculous, and that in light of the most recent scalding incident the CDHB needed to adjust and lower the temperature.
While he said his care was good and staff were excellent, he felt the mix of consumers in the unit could be unsettling for people.

Questions below:

1) Is the CDHB concerned by the number of resignations in its mental health nursing group?

2) Nurses say violence is affecting staff retention. Does the CDHB believe this to be a factor?

3) What, if any, resolutions came from David Meates meeting with WorkSafe?

4) The regulator previously said the CDHB needs to make immediate improvements. Please outline what, if any, steps the CDHB plans to take to manage risk at Hillmorton?

5) How many security staff are employed by the CDHB at Te Awakura?

6) Are they stationed in the unit itself, and what ability do they have to respond to violent incidents?

7) Has the CDHB made any changes to the ability of patients to access boiling water?

Thank you. Can you please let me know when you get this, and if you can provide a response by 4pm?

Cheers,

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: 5 December 2018 at 4:05:56 PM NZDT
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE Official Information Act request C DHB 9972 - Response

Dear [Redacted],

Please find attached our response to your Official Information Act request CDHB 9972.

Kind regards,

[Redacted]

The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail or any attached files is unauthorised. This e-mail is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this e-mail in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Fairfax Media Group does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this e-mail or attached files. Internet communications are not secure, therefore Fairfax Media Group does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message or attached files.
Really comfortable with this. Best to come from Toni or me??

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board

P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Hi all – would appreciate feedback on this draft note to for Toni G to send out to all Specialist Mental Health Staff tomorrow the morning:

###

Kia ora koutou

Following a meeting on Thursday with representatives from WorkSafe and the NZNO, I wanted to update you.

We had a constructive conversation and both organisations are committed to working together to address safety issues within our service.

Worksafe will carry out an assessment of our current Health and Safety policies, systems, processes and practices. At this time the scope hasn’t been confirmed – although Te Awakura and the A T & R unit are services of interest. It’s planned that this assessment will start before Christmas and the process will likely take a couple of months.

The assessment will be designed to cover the following:

- to ensure we are meeting our obligations under the Health & Safety at Work Act [2015]
- to understand how well we are identifying and managing risks
- to promote ways of achieving sustained improvements in managing risks to health and safety.

I will let you know when I have more information.
Kind regards

Values – A matou wāna
Care and respect for others – Manoākī me te kotau i etahi
Integrity in all we do – Hōpa tō na matou māhī
Responsibility for outcomes – Kaipukuru i ko hua
From: [Redacted] on behalf of David Meates  
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 2:02 p.m.  
To: SMHS - All Staff  
Subject: Message for all SMHS Nursing staff

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.

I look forward to meeting on Friday at 2pm.

David Meates, MNZM  
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board  
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140  
www.cdhb.health.nz | www.westcoastdHB.org.nz

make it better

Values – Ā Mātou Uara  
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata  
Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono  
Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua
Final version to go out – can you ensure that this is now sent to the right staff

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.

I look forward to meeting on Friday

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – Ā Mātou Haaroa
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

*****
Dave,

Thank you for your email. I appreciate your concern for the safety and well-being of the staff.

As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.

Kia ora,

[Signature]

Hi Dave,

Thanks for your email. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.

Kia ora,

[Signature]
Subject: Email to SMHS Nursing staff

Please see draft below – happy for you to add / delete etc

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members it is important that they are there to support their members.

I look forward to meeting on Friday

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – A Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute | te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai iā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

******************************************************************************
*****
This email and attachments have been scanned for content and viruses and is believed to be clean This email or attachments may contain confidential or legally privileged information intended for the sole use of the addressee(s). Any use, redistribution, disclosure, or reproduction of this message, except as intended, is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender and remove all copies of the message, including any attachments. Any views or opinions expressed in this email (unless otherwise stated) may not represent those of Canterbury District Health Board
******************************************************************************
*****
From: David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 12:38 p.m.
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Email to SMHS Nursing staff

Please see draft below – happy for you to add / delete etc

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members it is important that they are there to support their members.

I look forward to meeting on Friday

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i te pono |
Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga I ngā hua
From: [Redacted] on behalf of David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 10:20 a.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: FW: Addressing violence at Hillmorton hospital
Attachments: Dear Mr Meates.docx

fyi

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 10:10 a.m.
To: David Meates
Subject: Addressing violence at Hillmorton hospital
Hi

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current faces that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members it is important that they are there to support their members.

Regards

David Meates
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board

Kia Ora
I would just like to thank you for yesterday’s meeting at Hillmorton and I’m aware that the team did thank David on the day.

However on the day it would seem that the message that was promised to be sent out to the DON, CNM, CTC and nurse managers to assist on the floor and therefore enable the RNs, ENs & HCAs to attend did not either get sent or not received.

This as you know caused division on the day. This was left to the team to manage on the day and take abuse from those nurse leaders at the time and later at work.

We now hear from the DON she was directed to tell us that the meeting we had scheduled for Friday at 2pm has been now opened up again to all staff. This is disappointing, as we know that further meetings are scheduled for all staff next week.

Communication through other people often ends up with mixed messages, it would have been respectful if the wish to change our agreement re meetings was discussed with our team.

Yours sincerely

Canterbury Regional chairperson
Kia ora

There is no record of Worksafe having requested a meeting with the DHB Board and / or senior leaders. The meeting referred to in April was a regular Hillmorton Safe Staffing meeting, to which NUPE had invited Worksafe to respond to concerns about Worksafe’s own monitoring and notification processes.

In 2017, we had Worksafe on site at the DHB where we took them through the unique challenges facing the DHB post-earthquakes particularly with regard to facilities across the DHB and issues they needed to be aware of. In addition there have been a number of other interactions with Worksafe including:

- Late July 2018. Following a request from WorkSafe in late June from [WorkSafe Inspector] a meeting was held between [ ] and [ ] to get an understanding of our current practices and organisational risks.
- Early September 2018. WorkSafe requested the Canterbury DHB temporary boiler site as training for a number of WorkSafe inspectors. WorkSafe were very impressed with how the risks were being managed and requested the opportunity to take a video of the facility to use for wider national training.
- 27 September 2018. Meeting with [WorkSafe], [Manager Wellbeing Health and Safety], [Programme Director Construction and Property] and [Senior Health and Safety Advisor]. The meeting built on previous meetings and covered a number of areas in more detail including discussion re a further site visit however no further request was received from WorkSafe.

We have also notified 11 incidents to Worksafe since March 2018, five of which related to Mental Health with one further case currently being referred.

Nga mihi

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board

make it better

Values – A Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i a mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, 4 December 2018 11:12 a.m.
To: David Meates

Subject: Meeting yesterday at Hillmorton

Kia ora David,

I was one of the nurses who attended the meeting at Hillmorton yesterday, and I spoke to you about my concerns that Worksafe had not investigated the situation at Hillmorton.

You assured me that appropriate reporting had been done to Worksafe, and that yourself and the Board were also very surprised Worksafe had not investigated things sooner. You assured me that you would follow up with Worksafe regarding this, and advocate on our behalf.

I have just read this article by Radio New Zealand which states Worksafe attempted to have a meeting regarding Hillmorton Hospital in April of this year, but the Board declined this offer. I would like to give you the opportunity to explain to me this discrepancy in what you told us compared to what was reported, before I take this information any further.

Nga mihi
From: David Meates
Sent: Monday, 3 December 2018 7:53 p.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: Options

A few thoughts for response to [redacted]
It would be wonderful if the MOH just delivered on anything. The dhhb has contracted 8 acute community beds, is waiting on final approval for mother’s and babies etc after 4 years, the MOH are aware of how bad forensic and adult inpatient facilities are - with absolutely no support from MOH to progress, ID continues to be problematic. There is a very real sense of no support from MOH etc

Sent from my iPhone
Some initial thoughts re a statement - very very rough

A raw conversation that reflected the long and sustained pressures that adult mental health services have been under.

The level of inappropriate facilities that are impacting on how care is being provided, lack of engagement and options for dealing with some patient groups and feeling unsafe were key areas highlighted.

Acknowledgement that the services are dealing with twice the volume of patients that the services was seeing prior to the earthquakes with the same number of beds in facilities not designed for the care being provided.

A range of options were highlighted including increased security presence etc

Sent from my iPhone
have made a few suggested changes. Happy for any other input
Draft 1

MEDIA STATEMENT RE INCIDENT IN TE AWAKURA

Please attribute to David Meates, Chief Executive, Canterbury DHB

I am extremely concerned to hear another staff member has been assaulted while at work in the adult mental health inpatient unit.

I can confirm that a nurse was stabbed in the thigh by a patient. We are doing all we can to ensure she is well supported in her treatment and recovery. The nurse is in a stable condition and likely to be discharged.

Whenever there is a serious incident such as this, a review will be carried out to understand what happened and what can be done to reduce the chance of a recurrence. That process is underway.

I am meeting with nursing staff at Hillmorton tomorrow [as part of a pre-arranged series of meetings] and we will be discussing a number of things including the level of assaults in the unit.

No level of violence towards staff, patients, or anyone else is acceptable.

I am open to hearing all ideas staff have to reduce the risk of violence within our service. The Canterbury region has been dealing with significant Canterbury region has been dealing with significant illness increases in mental health patients following the earthquakes in 2011 which has also been exacerbated by rapid population growth. These services continue to be provided not as simple as putting in more staff, as they are already over-crowded and is not designed in a way to support the numbers of patients and modern practice. Experienced mental health nurses are a finite resource, and the demand for beds in the acute adult inpatient unit frequently exceeds capacity.

Since May this year we have been working with the NZ Nurses Organisation on initiatives to support a safe and sustainable acute inpatient service. The project has been focused on aims to addressing assaults and threats, and is looking at ways to proactively and systematically prevent, de-escalate and manage aggressive behaviour. The project has led to a strengthening of is also reviewing leadership roles out of hours and over weekend and crisis admission procedures, and taking steps to create a more calming and therapeutic environment.

A number of changes have been implemented including: additional nursing leadership into Te Awakura. We have replaced furniture in high care areas with specialist weighted furniture that cannot be easily lifted, in order to help ensure staff safety. The high care area doors in Te Awakura are being replaced with more robust metal doors.

Clearly there is more we need to do immediately, and in the longer term, and we will be working collaboratively with staff and the NZNO to make our acute mental health unit safer.

ENDS

Note: no further information will be provided regarding the nurses’ injuries or condition.
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: 26 November 2018 at 12:09:22 PM NZDT
To: David Meates
Subject: FW: MHS nurses meeting

David
Can we discuss asap.

Canterbury District Health Board

---

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, 26 November 2018 10:40 a.m.
To: [Redacted]
Subject: MHS nurses meeting

Dear [Redacted]

I'm writing to seek guidance on the meeting between David Meates and the mental health nurses of the CDHB.

It was mine and those that attended, that this meeting was for the RNs, ENs and HCAs working on the floor within MHS to have an opportunity to hear from and speak to David re the violence and issues they face on a daily basis at work. To hear what the CDHB plan going forward, to make working in MHS safer and better for staff and patients.

We as asked discussed and suggested times and venue for the 2 meetings. We were happy to
change the dates, aware of Davids calendar being set for the later part of the year. We were happy to go with the 3/12 and 7/12. Although not happy with our venue suggested in AIS being changed to the Lincoln room in the admin building, the team said ok and then emailed all staff to notify and encourage them to attend a safe and nursing lead forum with David, where they would be safe to listen and speak on their issues.

Then on the Friday, 23rd November at 5:30pm an email was received by staff from Toni Gutschlag, General manager stating that staff had to register by name and it would be first in first served basis. The email also changed the room agreed on, to rooms 1 and 2 in the Ferguson building and frankly made it sound as if management had set this up and owned it. This was not what staff wanted, we asked that management stepped up and facilitated staff to attend by relieving on the floor.

It was Staff dissatisfaction in management's lack of responses to the on going violence within the CDHB MHS that lead to the meeting being called with [redacted] David Meates and yourself in the first place. We now feel that again MHS nurses voices are being limited by the service manger, in her taking over of these forums. Toni did not even attempt to talk to the nurses involved and seek their input. The email Toni sent, insisting that staff identify themselves and changing the venue has made staff that had already responded to the teams email say they don't feel safe to attend. I think we clearly stated that many fear for their jobs if they speak out in the current MHS environment.

I would like your thoughts on a way forward for nurses ( not management) to get their voices heard in what was to be a nurses meeting with David Meates.

I am off work on Monday 26/11 but have meetings from 1-3 at the CDHB.

Yours Sincerely

[redacted]

Canterbury Regional chair
From: David Meates
Sent: Friday, 23 November 2018 4:49 p.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: RE: Invitation to staff forums with CEO David Meates at Hillmorton Hospital

Yep.

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

make it better

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | integrity in all we do - Hāpai i tā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono |
Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

From: Toni Gutschlag
Sent: Friday, 23 November 2018 4:28 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: [Redacted]

Hi David,
Are you ok with this message going out?

Dear all,

I would like to provide clarification on the upcoming meetings with our CEO, David Meates.

David will be holding two staff forums on the Hillmorton Hospital site next month to update staff on our campus facilities, as well as engage on other priority issues. Similar forums were recently held at the Princess Margaret Hospital campus.

Hillmorton site staff are welcome to attend one of the following sessions, which will take place in meeting rooms 1 and 2 of the Fergusson Building:
- Monday, 3 December at 10:00 am;
- Friday, 7 December at 2:00 pm.

We are anticipating a lot of interest in these forums. As we unfortunately do not have large meeting venues on our site, spaces are limited and we are asking staff to register in advance. However, David has offered to run additional forums if required.

If you would like to attend, please email [Redacted] and specify which date you prefer. Enrolment will be on a first-in, first-served basis.

I look forward to seeing those of you who are able to attend then.

Kind regards,
Yes a good way to end a week

Sent from my iPhone

On 9/11/2018, at 3:37 PM, Toni Gutschlag wrote:

What a great way to end the week!
This demonstrates that real change is occurring in Te Awakura and is a huge contrast to their previous experience.

From: Toni Gutschlag
Sent: Friday, 9 November 2018 5:33 p.m.
To: 
Cc: 

Subject: FW: Thank you to AIS staff

Hi [REDACTED]

Please see below a lovely email from [REDACTED], sister of [REDACTED], a patient in Te Awakura. [REDACTED] asked me to pass this on to you.

It is lovely to receive feedback which outlines so clearly a responsive, patient and family focussed approach to mental health care. [REDACTED] and family have had previous experiences with our services where they have not felt so well supported.

Thank you all for the work you have done to support this patient and [REDACTED] family, you have clearly had a huge impact on them and your work is very much appreciated.

[REDACTED], can you please share this more widely with Te Awakura staff? [REDACTED] told me [REDACTED] considers the whole service has been amazing.

Kind regards
Toni

Toni Gutschlag
General Manager - Mental Health

Canterbury District Health Board
Hillmorton Hospital, Private Bag 4733, Christchurch 8140 |
No text in the email

Sent from my iPhone

On 13/12/2018, at 6:58 AM, David Meates wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [redacted]
Date: 13 December 2018 at 4:53:33 AM NZDT
To: David Meates
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: security in Te Awakura
to
From: Toni Gutschlag  
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 9:12 p.m.  
To: David Meates  
Subject: Fwd: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]  
Attachments: image003.png; image004.jpg; image005.gif

FYI
I'm not that happy with this and feel it is somewhat engineered advice as I was asked yesterday to step back by a
and allow H&S to be the single contact.
No-one understands our delivery context as we do.
Will step back though if this is what you need.
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From:
Date: 11 December 2018 at 8:17:31 PM NZDT
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Re: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]

Many thanks

I think an acknowledgement of the record of the meeting is important; and I recommend that the sensible approach for the CDHB is for you to suggest that in future it would be best to establish that all communication between WorkSafe and CDHB, is via the CDHB Health and Safety team. In my view, this is the appropriate way for WorkSafe to communicate with CDHB – and it helps manage the CDHB’s risk to any WorkSafe involvement. At the moment, my impression is that there is no clear protocol and I recommend that one is established immediately. This is common in other large clients of
Once H & S receives an approach, it can take responsibility for prioritising it and managing the response in a way that does not prejudice CDHB’s position.

I think it is also important to try to clear up the misunderstanding around the request to meet with the Board. If there is an explanation, then please let me know and we can consider how it is best conveyed. If there is a good explanation, we should make it know as soon as possible.

Kind regards,
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 7:00 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Re: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Hi

Please see attached and below the notice from WorkSafe in relation to the assessment.

Toni and I are in the process of drafting a response to thank them for the information re the Assessment and we are look forward to meeting with them.

They have also attached their record of our meeting and I would like your advice on whether we challenge the following statement made by WorkSafe:

- WorkSafe requested to meet with the Board to further discuss critical risk and management. This meeting was to be initiated by the by the Health Workplace Committee in consultation with the CDHB Board but this never occurred.

I can confirm that WorkSafe met with our Safe Staffing Group at Hillmorton at the request of NUPE to clarification. I understand that this is not a Health and Safety Committee meeting per se but a bipartisan action group that is set up through the Nursing MeCa. As part of their work they work on issues of mutual interest which has included staff assaults. During this meeting WorkSafe offered to present to the Board.

I understand that WorkSafe left a voicemail for the Secretary to the Board Chair re a meeting. The Secretary reportedly called back to confirm that this was possible but never heard back from WorkSafe. I am currently validating this and determining if we have recorded this.

Thanks

Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board

Level 4, Oxford Tce | PO Box 1600 | Christchurch | New Zealand
www.cdhb.govt.nz | www.westcoastdhb.org.nz

From: David Meates
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 1:02 p.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag

Please note attached letter and email below.

Regards

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140

Values – A Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Ĥāpai i Ĥā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te Takohanga i ngā hua

From: 
Sent: Tuesday, 11 December 2018 12:32 PM
To: David Meates
Cc: 
Subject: FW: Re: WorkSafe meeting [UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High

Kia ora David

Firstly Jo and I would like to thank you and your team for making the time to meet with us last Thursday 6th of December.

It was acknowledged WorkSafe and the Christchurch District Health Board are in agreement to work collaboratively to address the health and safety risks in various facilities within Christchurch in particular Hillmorton Hospital and Princess Margaret Hospital. It was also agreed that WorkSafe and The Christchurch District Health Board will co-ordinate media responses to ensure our messages are aligned. We look forward to fostering a relationship of open communication and co-operation to ensure all workers return home after work happy and safe.

As requested I have attached a summary of the meeting that took place in April earlier this year for your records.

It has been acknowledged there is an increased number of assaults of workers within Hillmorton Hospital in particular in the Te Awakura Unit and the Assessment, Treatment and Rehabilitation Unit. WorkSafe will now be conducting a number of workplace assessments, one of these will be prior to Christmas 2018 and respond as per its findings.

Our initial assessment will be looking at and understanding:-

- The organisational structure of Specialist Mental Health Services division.
- Workforce composition and size.
• Facility familiarisation in particular Te Awakura and AT&R Units
• Identification of critical/key risks and what controls are in place.

In successive co-ordinated assessments in the new year we will also be reviewing:

• Officer due diligence, to assess how Officers monitor and evaluate health and safety within the Organisation.
• Review worker engagement and participation processes.
• Review health and safety reporting systems

We may also ask that a Health and Safety Representative is made available for an Inspector to speak to on the day of the assessment. I have been in contact with Toni Gutschlag and we have arranged to attend Hillmorton at 09:00 a.m. on Monday 17th of December next week. I have emailed Toni with the outline of our assessment above.


Ngā mihi nui

Canterbury & West Coast General Inspectorate

2 Degrees House
Level 2
351 Lincoln Road
Addington
Christchurch 8024

www.govt.nz - your guide to finding and using New Zealand government services
Any opinions expressed in this message are not necessarily those of WorkSafe New Zealand. This message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivery to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this message in error and that any use is strictly prohibited. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachment from your computer.
From: David Meates
Sent: Saturday, 8 December 2018 8:36 a.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: Fwd: incident at Hillmorton today - media attention likely

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: 7 December 2018 at 4:30:57 PM NZDT
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Toni Gutschlag

Subject: incident at Hillmorton today - media attention likely

Dear [Redacted],

I am writing to advise that [Redacted], an outpatient (Sec 3.1) with a diagnosis of [Redacted], was today seen at our [Redacted] service and was in the process of being readmitted as [Redacted] presented as mildly elevated in mood. [Redacted] went outside to smoke, came back inside and, unprovoked, seriously assaulted a security guard. [Redacted] has now been remanded in custody and charged with [Redacted]. Police have opposed bail. [Redacted] already had charges pending on an unrelated matter. In the context of our current situation, the media will get hold of it. This follows an assault on one of our [Redacted] managers in the [Redacted] building by a [Redacted] patient who presented to the service with a rock in a glove, citing the media attention and threatening to ‘get into the media’ before hitting the person on the side of [Redacted] head with the rock.

Regards

[Redacted]
Great feedback. Thanks David for fronting things this week, I really appreciate it and staff have too.

Sent from my iPhone

On 7/12/2018, at 8:01 PM, David Meates wrote:

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.
From: David Meates  
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 6:41 p.m.  
To: Toni Gutschlag  
Subject: Fwd: Message for all SMHS Nursing staff 

Sent from my iPhone 

Begin forwarded message:
From: [Redacted] On Behalf Of David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 2:02 p.m.
To: SMHS - All Staff
Subject: Message for all SMHS Nursing staff

With regard to the meeting on Friday, I think that it is really important that this meeting is open to all nursing staff including senior nurses to ensure that all voices are being heard and that as an organisation we are doing all we can to work with staff to deal with the current issues that we are facing. As the majority of nurses are NZNO members we have an expectation that they would be present to support their members.

I look forward to meeting on Friday at 2pm.

David Meates, MNZM
Chief Executive | Canterbury District Health Board and West Coast District Health Board
P O Box 1600, Christchurch 8140
www.cdhb.health.nz | www.westcoastdHB.org.nz

Values – Ā Mātou Uara
Care and respect for others - Manaaki me te whakaute i te tangata | Integrity in all we do - Hāpai i ā mātou mahi katoa i runga i te pono | Responsibility for outcomes - Te takohanga i ngā hua
This relates to person who assaulted

Hi

I have discussed this with Toni. I assume that the case conference at 2pm includes the community team? I suggest that the team discuss the issue of trespass at the meeting and make a call on its clinical usefulness and context. We are then happy to support the decision and facilitate its enactment. We do not need to tolerate deliberate acts of violence simply because someone also has a mental illness and it is possible to invite people for appointments etc. with an order in place.

Regards

As you may be aware, punched a nurse on the ward recently and then yesterday on the Hillmorton grounds assaulted a member of staff with a rock while reasonable attempts were being made to offer understanding and guidance.

The day before that had threatened a member of staff at reception at the Fergusson building, while referring to the recent assault of member of staff on the ward The implication was that the same would happen to her.

At present is in police custody while charges are pressed.
and I have discussed the possibility of a trespass order. I have approached [REDACTED] to explore how that might work, and his reply is below with attached policy above.

What are people’s thoughts on this, as to whether we should take this further?

Thanks,

Level 1
Fergusson Building
Hilmorton Hospital
Private Bag 4710
Christchurch 8140
NZ

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 5 December 2018 2:49 p.m.
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Trespass

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for the message. I attached a yet to be published policy, but one which has been approved at GM level across CDHB.

Toni as GM for the service needs to approve the decision to trespass. Following that, [REDACTED], the Security Manager, can assist with the trespass notice itself and the logistics of getting it to the individual.

If staff are subject to harassment/threats there are other options in addition/instead of a trespass notice – depending on the detail of what has/is occurring.

Happy to discuss.

Regards
Canterbury and West Coast District Health Boards
The tone of the letter may speak for itself and mean a response from me is unnecessary.

From: [Redacted], On Behalf Of David Meates
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 10:20 a.m.
To: Toni Gutschlag
Subject: FW: Addressing violence at Hillmorton hospital

fyi

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, 6 December 2018 10:10 a.m.
To: David Meates
Subject: Addressing violence at Hillmorton hospital
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: 3 December 2018 at 9:50:49 AM NZDT
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted], Toni Gutschlag

Subject: incidents at Hillmorton

Dear [Redacted],

As discussed the two incidents that have had media attention are as follows:

Last week a [Redacted] patient [Redacted] who is very unwell [Redacted] a cup of boiling water over a nurse.


The high level of violence on our not-fit-for-purpose units is not new, and has been escalated a number of times. While more dramatic in nature, it is more of the same theme of over-crowded units that were built at a time when everyone was secluded very readily. The working party that nurses are contributing to is coming up with recommendations, none of which will solve the building issue, however they are well engaged and really distressed by these events and the media attention.

The acute alternative that has been planned will not be up and running until March but might bring some relief.

I will update if there is any new information.

Regards [Redacted]
Chief of Psychiatry, Canterbury District Health Board
Director of Area Mental Health Services, Canterbury.
Hi David,

Further information for Monday fyi.

Regards

Toni

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, 30 November 2018 1:36 p.m.
To: [Redacted] [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: FROM ZERO SECLUSION TO SAFER FOR ALL.memo.30112019

HI Toni

Following the visit from HQSC yesterday the project team agreed that it would be helpful to develop messaging for all staff regarding the change of Zero Seclusion to Safer for All. We thought it would be helpful to share this with David prior to the staff forums next week given concerns have been raised about staff safety in the context of seclusion. This provides him with background and a brief update.

[Redacted] has assisted in the communication and the project group is happy with the wording, which is also supported by the Clinical Leaders.

[Redacted] was hoping to give David a heads up but you may well see him today at the conference and happy for you to forward this to him.

Thanks
FROM ZERO SECLUSION TO SAFER FOR ALL

In recent months there have been concerns about introducing the HQSC ‘Zero Seclusion’ project into the Canterbury DHB, which aims to eliminate seclusion in our wards by 2020.

Seclusion is when a person is placed alone in a room or area from which they cannot freely exit. It involves containment, isolation and reduction of sensory input. Seclusion can be a traumatic experience for the consumer, their family and staff. This initiative looks at other ways to help people who are in distress, so seclusion does not need to be used.

Although the ‘Zero Seclusion’ project is incredibly important, the name cites an aspirational goal which ultimately puts the idea before the person – or the staff member. It is widely appreciated that completely eliminating the need for seclusion is unattainable within our current resources and service configuration. The CDHB has however made significant gains in preventing the use of seclusion over the last 5 years.

Canterbury DHB is now moving forward with the project but under a new name and focus ‘Safer For All’. This is a step also taken by other DHBs across New Zealand.

‘Safer for All’ focuses on the causes that result in people needing to be secluded: violence and serious threats of violence. The idea is that if we reduce violence, we will reduce seclusion.

The project is looking at developing better assessment plans, improved management plans, and is reviewing the actions of the multidisciplinary teams and the use of medication in order to prevent violence and threats. The HQSC component will bring training and support resources, Quality Improvement tools and discipline to the project.

‘Safer For All’ is a co-designed project that brings in the voices of consumers, family and staff at every step of the design process. These goals align precisely with those of the Te Awakura working group, which is working to support a safe and sustainable acute inpatient service for staff consumers and their families.
Hi David,
Are you ok with this message going out?

Dear all,

I would like to provide clarification on the upcoming meetings with our CEO, David Meates.

David will be holding two staff forums on the Hillmorton Hospital site next month to update staff on our campus facilities, as well as engage on other priority issues. Similar forums were recently held at the Princess Margaret Hospital campus.

Hillmorton site staff are welcome to attend one of the following sessions, which will take place in meeting rooms 1 and 2 of the Ferguson Building:

- Monday, 3 December at 10:00 am;
- Friday, 7 December at 2:00 pm.

We are anticipating a lot of interest in these forums. As we unfortunately do not have large meeting venues on our site, spaces are limited and we are asking staff to register in advance. However, David has offered to run additional forums if required.

If you would like to attend, please email [REDACTED] and specify which date you prefer. Enrolment will be on a first-in, first-served basis.

I look forward to seeing those of you who are able to attend then.

Kind regards,

Toni Gutschlag
General Manager - Mental Health

Canterbury District Health Board
Hillmorton Hospital, Private Bag 4733, Christchurch 8140